

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,548	04/02/2001	Coenraad Jan Spaans	Polyganics-2(P52147US00)	9432
Peter L Michaelson Michaelson & Wallace Parkway 109 Office Center 328 Newman Springs Rd. P O Box 8489			EXAMINER	
			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	1/2
Red Bank, NJ 07701			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	/ <

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/824548	SPAANA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	WESMA	V 1617
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	3	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaution.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory minimalt, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication .
Status	, ,	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28/63	
☐ This action is FINAL.	, ,	
Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	pt for formal matters, <b>pros</b> 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21:	ecution as to the merits is closed in 3.
Disp sition of Claims		
1-5, 5-10, 12-1	5, 23, 29-34	is/are pending in the application.
$1-5, \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
$X = \frac{1-5}{5} = \frac{5-10}{12-1}$	5, 23, 29-34	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
• •		are subject to restriction or election
□ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objuted to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objuted to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a). of the priority documents h	are subject to restriction or election requirement.    disapproved.  (d).  ave been
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objuted The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)of the priority documents h	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents helper)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objuted The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the content of the complex of the content of the code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the code of th	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents helper)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objuted to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the literature ☐ the copies of the cop	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents haber)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objuted to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received: ☐ Attachm nt(s)	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents haber) nternational Bureau (PCT I	are subject to restriction or election requirement.   disapproved.  d(d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).



Art Unit: 1617

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

See objections below.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

The drawings are objected to because the reaction following figure is not numbered. It is unclear as to where it is discussed in the specification. If a number is provided, the number should be inserted in the specification where the reaction is described. The structures below and to the left of the labeled "polyurethane urea" should be labeled. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

A brief description of the drawings is required. See MPEP 6080.01(f).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.



Application/Control Number: 09/824,548

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Webman/LR September 30, 2003

> EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500